arXiv:1704.08581v1 [hep-ph] 27 Apr 2017

KIAS-P17031

Loop induced type-II seesaw model and GeV dark matter with $U(1)_{B-L}$ gauge symmetry

Takaaki Nomura[1,*] and Hiroshi Okada[2,†]

[1]*School of Physics, KIAS, Seoul 02455, Korea*

[2]*Physics Division, National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Hsinchu, Taiwan 300*

(Dated: April 28, 2017)

Abstract

We propose a Higgs triplet model with $U(1)_{B-L}$ gauge symmetry and several new fermions in no conflict with anomaly cancellation where the neutrino masses are given by the vacuum expectation value of Higgs triplet induced at the one-loop level. The new fermions are odd under discrete Z_2 symmetry and the lightest one becomes dark matter candidate. We find that the mass of dark matter is typically $\mathcal{O}(1)$-$\mathcal{O}(10)$ GeV. Then relic density of the dark matter is discussed.

*Electronic address: nomura@kias.re.kr

†Electronic address: macokada3hiroshi@cts.nthu.edu.tw

I. INTRODUCTION

Radiative neutrino mass models are widely renown by connecting the neutrino masses and a dark matter (DM) candidate at low energy theory, which are also applied to accommodate some experimental anomalies that cannot be explained by the standard model (SM). Thus many authors have historically been working along these ideas; for example, refs. [1–91] mainly focusses on the scenarios of one-loop level neutrino mass generation, and refs. [92–94] discuss the systematic analysis of (Dirac) neutrino oscillation, charged lepton flavor violation, and collider physics in the framework of neutrinophilic and inert two Higgs doublet model (THDM), respectively.

A Higgs triplet model (HTM) is also an interesting scenario to get non-zero neutrino masses where the mass is induced by the vacuum expectation value (VEV) of $SU(2)_L$ triplet Higgs Δ [95, 96]; this kind of model is also called type-II seesaw model. The triplet VEV, v_Δ, is required to be small as $v_\Delta \lesssim 3$ GeV from the precision measurement of the electroweak ρ-parameter [97]. In the minimal electroweak scale Higgs triplet model, we assume small coupling μ for $H^T i\sigma^2 \Delta^\dagger H$ interaction to get small VEV of the triplet as $v_\Delta \propto \mu v^2/m_\Delta^2$ where v and m_Δ are the SM Higgs VEV and mass of the triplet respectively. However the value of parameter μ is not theoretically restricted and can be large.

In this paper, we propose a neutrino model with Higgs triplet where the VEV of Δ is arisen at the one-loop level [17]. Then we can interpret this mechanism as a theoretical reason why the VEV of $SU(2)_L$ triplet is so small. To achieve the mechanism, we impose $U(1)_{B-L}$ *gauge* symmetry to forbid $H^T i\sigma^2 \Delta^\dagger H$ interaction at tree level and introduce several new fermions instead of bosons [1] in no conflict with anomaly cancellation. Then triplet VEV is induced via one-loop diagram in which new fermions propagate, after spontaneous breaking of gauge symmetries. Moreover, we find that a rather light DM mass of $1-10$ GeV is induced, since it is proportional to the VEV of $SU(2)_L$ triplet due to a specific structure of the neutral fermion mass matrix. Then we show the mechanism to generate the neutrino masses and analyze a tiny fermionic dark matter candidate ($1-10$ GeV).

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we show our model, and formulated the neutral fermion sector, boson sector, lepton sector, and dark matter sector. Also we analyze

[1] The original paper [17] is achieved by introducing inert bosons with global $B-L$ model.

	SM leptons		Exotic fermions		
Fermions	L_{L_a}	e_{R_a}	L'	N_{R_a}	S_{R_i}
$SU(2)_L$	**2**	**1**	**2**	**1**	**1**
$U(1)_Y$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	-1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	0
$U(1)_{B-L}$	-1	-1	-1	-1	0
Z_2	$+$	$+$	$-$	$-$	$-$

TABLE I: Field contents of fermions and their charge assignments under $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times U(1)_{B-L} \times Z_2$, where each of the flavor index is defined as $a \equiv 1-3$ and $i = 1, 2$.

Bosons	H	Δ	φ
$SU(2)_L$	**2**	**3**	**1**
$U(1)_Y$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0
$U(1)_{B-L}$	0	2	1
Z_2	$+$	$+$	$+$

TABLE II: Boson sector, where all the bosons are $SU(3)_C$ singlet.

the relic density of DM without conflict of direct detection searches. Finally We conclude and discuss in Sec. III.

II. MODEL SETUP AND PHENOMENOLOGIES

In this section, we show our model and discuss some phenomenologies such as neutrino mass generation, dark matter and implications to collider physics. First of all, we impose an additional $U(1)_{B-L}$ gauge symmetry, and introduce a vector-like fermion L' with $SU(2)_L$ doublet, two right-handed neutral fermions S_{R_i} [2], and three right-handed neutral fermions N_{R_a} with -1 charge under $U(1)_{B-L}$ symmetry. Here the fermion contents and their assignments are summarized in Table I, in which $i = 1, 2$ and $a = 1-3$ represent the number of family.

[2] Two S_R are needed to evade the massless neutral fermion that is arisen from N_R.

For the scalar sector, we introduce a $SU(2)_L$ triplet scalar Δ which has 1 and 2 charges under $U(1)_Y$ and $U(1)_{B-L}$ gauge symmetry respectively. H is supposed to be the SM-like Higgs doublet, $\langle H\rangle \equiv v/\sqrt{2}$, while φ is the additional Higgs singlet with nonzero VEV; $\langle\varphi\rangle \equiv v'/\sqrt{2}$ realize the spontaneous breaking of $U(1)_{B-L}$ symmetry. Notice here that Δ does not have VEV at the tree level, but it is induced at the one-loop level via the diagram running the exotic neutral fermions as we discuss below. Thus its small VEV, $\langle\Delta\rangle \equiv v_\Delta/\sqrt{2}$, can naturally be realized. All of the scalar contents and their assignments are summarized in Table II. In addition, the lightest state of these neutral fermions can be a dark matter candidate. We also note that massive Z' boson appears after $U(1)_{B-L}$ symmetry breaking as other $U(1)_{B-L}$ models. In this paper, we abbreviate detailed analysis of gauge sector because it is almost the same as other models.

A. Yukawa interactions and scalar sector

Yukawa Lagrangian: Under our fields and symmetries, the renormalizable Lagrangian for lepton sector is given by

$$\begin{aligned}-\mathcal{L}_L = {} & (y_\ell)_{ab}\bar{L}_{L_a}e_{R_b}H + (y_\nu)_{ab}\bar{L}^c_{L_a}\tilde{\Delta}^* L_{L_b} + y_{N_b}\bar{L}'_L\tilde{H}N_{R_b} + y_{S_{aj}}\bar{N}^C_{R_a}S_{R_j}\varphi \\ & + y_{\Delta_L}\bar{L}'^C_L\tilde{\Delta}^*L'_L + y_{\Delta_R}\bar{L}'^C_R\tilde{\Delta}^*L'_R + M_L\bar{L}'_LL'_R + M_{S_{ij}}\bar{S}^C_{R_i}S_{R_j} + \text{c.c.},\end{aligned} \tag{II.1}$$

where $\tilde{H}(\tilde{\Delta}) \equiv (i\sigma_2)H^*(\Delta^*)$ with σ_2 being the second Pauli matrix, (a,b) runs over 1 to 3, and (i,j) runs over 1 to 2.

B. Fermion Sector

First of all we define the exotic fermion as follows:

$$L'_{L(R)} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} N' \\ E'^- \end{bmatrix}_{L(R)}, \tag{II.2}$$

then the mass eigenvalue of charged fermion is straightforwardly given by M_L in Eq.(II.1). The mass matrix for the neutral exotic fermions is seven by seven in basis of $\Psi \equiv$

$[S_{R_j}, N_{R_b}, N'_R, N'^C_L]^T$, and given by

$$M_N(\Psi) = \begin{bmatrix} (M_S)_{ij} & m^T_{NS_{ib}} & 0 & 0 \\ m_{NS_{aj}} & \mathbf{0}_{ab} & 0 & m^T_{NN'_a} \\ 0 & 0 & m_R & M_L \\ 0 & m_{NN'_b} & M_L & m_L \end{bmatrix}, \tag{II.3}$$

where $(i,j) = 1,2$, $a = 1 \sim 3$, $m_{L(R)} \equiv y_{\Delta_{L(R)}} v_\Delta/\sqrt{2}$, $m_{NN'_a} \equiv y_{N_a} v/\sqrt{2}$, $(m_{NS})_{ij} \equiv y_{S_{ij}} v'/\sqrt{2}$. Then we define this matrix is diagonalized by seven by seven orthogonal matrix O as $m_{\psi_i} \equiv (OM_N O^T)_i$ $i = 1 \sim 7$, where m_{ψ_i} is the mass eigenvalue. Moreover we define $m_{\psi_1} \equiv m_X$ which is the lightest mass eigenvalue and $\psi_1 \equiv X$ is a DM candidate. We will discuss relic density of the DM candidate below.

C. Scalar potential

The renormalizable scalar potential is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V =& \mu^2_\varphi |\varphi|^2 + \mu^2_H |H|^2 + \mu^2_\Delta \mathrm{Tr}[\Delta^\dagger \Delta] + \lambda_\varphi |\varphi|^4 + \lambda_H |H^\dagger H|^2 + \lambda_\Delta (\mathrm{Tr}[\Delta^\dagger \Delta])^2 + \lambda'_\Delta \mathrm{Det}[\Delta^\dagger \Delta] \\ &+ \lambda_{\varphi H} |\varphi|^2 |H|^2 + \lambda_{\varphi\Delta} |\varphi|^2 \mathrm{Tr}[\Delta^\dagger \Delta] + \lambda_{H\Delta} |H|^2 \mathrm{Tr}[\Delta^\dagger \Delta] + \lambda'_{H\Delta} (H^\dagger \sigma_i H) \mathrm{Tr}[\Delta^\dagger \sigma_i \Delta], \end{aligned} \tag{II.4}$$

where we choose some parameters in the potential so that $\langle \Delta \rangle \equiv v_\Delta/\sqrt{2} = 0$ at the tree level. [3] After the spontaneous $U(1)_{B-L}$ symmetry breaking, an effective interaction term $\mu H^T \tilde{\Delta}^T H$ is given via one-loop diagram in fig. 1, and μ is given by

$$\mu = \frac{3 y_\Delta y_{N_a} (m^\dagger_{NS})_{ai} M^{-1}_{S_i} (m^*_{NS})_{ib} y_{N_b}}{(4\pi)^2} \int [dX_4] \frac{x_1}{x_1 r_L + x_2 r_{N_a} + x_2 r_{N_b} + x_4}, \tag{II.5}$$

where $[dX_4] \equiv dx_1 dx_2 x_3 x_4 \delta(1 - x_1 - x_2 - x_3 - x_4)$, $r_f \equiv \frac{M^2_f}{M^2_{S_i}}$. The resultant scalar potential in the HTM potential is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_{HTM} =& \mu H \tilde{\Delta}^T H + \mu^2_H |H|^2 + \mu^2_\Delta \mathrm{Tr}[\Delta^\dagger \Delta] + \lambda_H |H^\dagger H|^2 + \lambda_\Delta (\mathrm{Tr}[\Delta^\dagger \Delta])^2 + \lambda'_\Delta \mathrm{Det}[\Delta^\dagger \Delta] \\ &+ \lambda_{H\Delta} |H|^2 \mathrm{Tr}[\Delta^\dagger \Delta] + \lambda'_{H\Delta} (H^\dagger \sigma_i H) \mathrm{Tr}[\Delta^\dagger \sigma_i \Delta], \end{aligned} \tag{II.6}$$

where μ is negative. Since v_Δ is proportional to μ [17, 98];

$$v_\Delta \approx \frac{\sqrt{2} v^2 \mu}{2\mu^2_\Delta + v^2(\lambda_{H\Delta} + \lambda'_{H\Delta})}, \tag{II.7}$$

[3] It suggests that an inert condition is imposed for Δ. In details, see ref. [17].



FIG. 1: The one loop diagram which induces effective $H^T \Delta H$ term after $U(1)_{B-L}$ symmetry breaking.

its smallness can naturally be achieved. Then the scalar fields are parameterized as

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} w^+ \\ \frac{v+h+iz}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Delta = \begin{bmatrix} \delta^+/\sqrt{2} & \delta^{++} \\ \delta^0 & -\delta^+/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \varphi = \frac{v' + \varphi_R + iz'_\varphi}{\sqrt{2}}, \tag{II.8}$$

where $\delta^0 \equiv \frac{v_\Delta + \delta_R + iz'_\delta}{\sqrt{2}}$, w^+ and z are absorbed by the SM gauge boson W^+ and Z, and the massless CP odd boson after diagonalizing the matrix in basis of (z'_φ, z'_δ) is absorbed by the $B-L$ gauge boson Z'. Although we have CP even matrix in basis of $(\varphi_R, h_R, \Delta_R)$, we consider the matrix as two by two in basis of (φ_R, h_R) since a mixing between Δ_R and other scalar is suppressed by small VEV of triplet v_Δ. Then we parametrize the mixing as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varphi_R \\ h_R \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} -c_\theta & s_\theta \\ s_\theta & c_\theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_1 \\ H_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad s_{2\theta} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}\lambda_{\varphi H} v^2}{m^2_{H_1} - m^2_{H_2}}, \tag{II.9}$$

where $H_{1(2)}$ is the mass eigenstate, $c_\theta \equiv \cos\theta$ and $s_\theta \equiv \sin\theta$.

D. Lepton sector

The charged lepton masses are given by $m_\ell = y_\ell v/\sqrt{2}$ after the electroweak symmetry breaking, where m_ℓ is assumed to be the mass eigenstate. The neutrino mass matrix is arisen from triplet VEV v_Δ at the one-loop level, and the resulting form is given by

$$(\mathcal{M}_\nu)_{ab} = \frac{(y_\nu)_{ab} v_\Delta}{\sqrt{2}}. \tag{II.10}$$

Then it can fit the neutrino oscillation data [97]. Notice here that this type of model induces the lepton flavor violating processes even at tree level [99]. The most stringent constraint comes from $\mu \to 3e$ process, and its upper bound is given by

$$|(y_\nu)_{12}(y_\nu)^*_{11}| \lesssim 2.3 \times 10^{-5} \left(\frac{m_{\delta^{\pm\pm}}}{\text{TeV}}\right)^2.$$

Thus we conservatively set the y_ν to be less than $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ in order to avoid this constraint. In our scenario, the constraints are easily satisfied since we consider $v_\Delta = \mathcal{O}(1)$ GeV and the Yukawa coupling y_ν can sufficiently be small.

E. Dark matter

At first, let us remind that $\psi_1 \equiv X$ is the DM candidate with mass eigenvalue m_X as we discussed above. Numerically diagonalizing the mass matrix, we find that the scale of DM mass is $m_X \lesssim \mathcal{O}(1)$-$\mathcal{O}(10)$ GeV, since its mass is given by $m_X \approx m \times \epsilon$ with $m = y_\Delta v_\Delta/\sqrt{2} \lesssim \mathcal{O}(10)$ GeV and $\epsilon \lesssim 1$ is written by complicated combinations of mass parameters in Eq.(II.3). Then the main annihilation mode to explain the observed relic density of DM, $\Omega h^2 \approx 0.12$ [100], arises from the SM fermion pairs ($m_f \leq m_b$) via s-channel process exchanging the SM Higgs and scalar boson which mixes with Higgs. The relevant Lagrangian in basis of mass eigenstate is found to be

$$\begin{aligned}
-\mathcal{L} &= \sum_{a=3}^{5}\left[\frac{y_{N_a}}{\sqrt{2}}(O^*)_{17}(O^T)_{a1}(s_\theta H_1 + c_\theta H_2) + \sum_{j=1}^{2}\frac{y_{N_a}}{\sqrt{2}}(O)_{1a}(O^T)_{j1}(-c_\theta H_1 + s_\theta H_2)\right]\bar{X}P_R X \\
&\quad + \sum_f \frac{m_f}{v}\bar{f}f(s_\theta H_1 + c_\theta H_2) + \text{c.c.} \\
&\equiv Y_N(s'_\theta H_1 + c'_\theta H_2)\bar{X}P_R X + \sum_{f\leq b}\frac{m_f}{v}\bar{f}f(s_\theta H_1 + c_\theta H_2) + \text{c.c.},
\end{aligned} \tag{II.11}$$

where $Y_N \lesssim \mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$ is imposed [23] in order to conservatively satisfy the constraint of the direct detection search at the LUX experiment [101], when the SM Higgs H_2 is mediating. Also mixing between SM Higgs and exotic scalar is expected to be $s_\theta \lesssim 0.4$ in the LHC experiment [102–105]. The relic density of DM is then given by [106]

$$\Omega h^2 \approx \frac{1.07 \times 10^9}{\sqrt{g_*(x_f)}M_{Pl}J(x_f)[\text{GeV}]}, \tag{II.12}$$

where $g^*(x_f \approx 25)$ is the degrees of freedom for relativistic particles at temperature $T_f = m_X/x_f$, $M_{Pl} \approx 1.22 \times 10^{19}$, and $J(x_f)(\equiv \int_{x_f}^{\infty} dx \frac{\langle \sigma v_{\rm rel} \rangle}{x^2})$ is given by [107]

$$J(x_f) = \int_{x_f}^{\infty} dx \left[\frac{\int_{4m_X^2}^{\infty} ds \sqrt{s-4m_X^2} s(\sigma v_{\rm rel}) K_1\left(\frac{\sqrt{s}}{m_X}x\right)}{16 m_X^5 x [K_2(x)]^2} \right], \tag{II.13}$$

$$(\sigma v_{\rm rel}) \approx \sum_f \frac{c_f m_f^2 Y_N^2}{32\pi s v^2} \sqrt{1-\frac{4m_f^2}{s}} \left| \frac{s_\theta^2}{s - m_{H_1}^2 + i m_{H_1}\Gamma_{H_1}} + \frac{c_\theta^2}{s - m_{H_2}^2 + i m_{H_2}\Gamma_{H_2}} \right|^2 \times \left(\frac{s}{2} - m_X^2\right)\left(\frac{s}{2} - 2m_f^2\right), \tag{II.14}$$

where we assume to be $s_\theta \approx s'_\theta$ for simplicity. Here m_{H_2} =125 GeV, Γ_{H_2} =0.0041 GeV, $c_f = 3(1)$ for f =quarks(leptons), s is the Mandelstam variable, and $K_{1,2}$ are the modified Bessel functions of the second kind of order 1 and 2, respectively. To analyze the relic density of DM, we fix several values; $Y_N = 0.05$, $s_\theta = 0.2$. The width of H_1 is given by

$$\Gamma_{H_1} = \sum_f \frac{m_{H_1}}{8\pi} \left(\frac{m_f}{v}\right)^2 s_\theta^2 \left(1 - \frac{4m_f^2}{m_{H_1}^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}; \qquad (2m_f < m_{H_1}). \tag{II.15}$$

Then we show the relic density in terms of the DM mass in Fig. 2 for several values of $m_{H_1} = (5, 10, 20)$ GeV; note also that we obtain $g^*(x_f) \sim 60$ for $m_{H_1} = (5, 10, 20)$ GeV for $x_f \simeq 25$. The results in Fig. 2 suggests that each of the solution lies on the pole, $m_{H_1} \simeq 2m_X$, since resonant enhancement is required due to the tiny coupling.

F. Implications to collider physics

In this model, we have Higgs triplet which contain doubly charged Higgs $\delta^{\pm\pm}$ and singly charged Higgs $\delta^{\pm}$. For $v_\Delta \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ GeV, they decay into SM gauge bosons as $\delta^{\pm\pm} \to W^\pm W^\pm$ and $\delta^\pm \to W^\pm Z$. In particular, doubly charged Higgs provides larger production cross section than singly charged Higgs and gives signal of same sign dilepton (+ missing transverse energy) [108–111]. In this case, the mass of the triplet is constrained as $m_\Delta \gtrsim 84$ GeV by the current experimental search for same sign dilepton signal at the LHC where the doubly charged Higgs is assumed to be produced by electroweak processes [111]. The Higgs triplet can be produced via Z' boson as $pp \to Z' \to \{\delta^{\pm\pm}\delta^{\mp\mp}, \delta^\pm\delta^\mp, \delta^0\delta^0\}$ in our model. It would be interesting to search for signal of $Z' \to \delta^{\pm\pm}\delta^{\mp\mp}(\delta^\pm\delta^\mp) \to W^+W^+W^-W^-(W^+W^-ZZ)$ where W and/or Z boson in the final state would be energetic when Z' is heavy.



FIG. 2: Relic density in terms of the DM mass, where $m_{H_1} = (5, 10, 20)$ GeV represent the lines of red, blue, and magenta, respectively. Here we fixed $Y_N = 0.05$, $s_\theta = 0.2$, Γ_{H_1} =0.001 GeV for simplicity.

In our model, we also have exotic charged lepton E'^- from vector like exotic lepton doublet L'. The E'^- dominantly decays as $E'^- \to \delta^- X$ via Yukawa coupling interaction. Thus, from $E'^+E'^-$ pair production at the LHC, we obtain signal of $W^+W^-ZZ + \not{E}_T$ where gauge bosons are produced via decay of $\delta^\pm$. These signal can be tested in future experiment at the LHC with sufficient integrated luminosity. Further detailed simulation analysis is beyond the scope of this paper and it will be carried out elsewhere.

III. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

We have proposed a model with Higgs triplet where the neutrino masses are induced by the VEV of triplet arising at the one-loop level. In our scenario, thus, the smallness of the triple VEV is explained since it is not allowed at tree level. To realize the scenario, we have imposed $U(1)_{B-L}$ gauge symmetry and introduced several new fermions in no conflict with anomaly cancellation.

We have shown the mechanism to generate the neutrino masses and have analyzed a fermionic dark matter candidate. The scale of DM mass is found to be $\mathcal{O}(1)$-$\mathcal{O}(10)$ GeV by the structure of the neutral fermion mass matrices. Then, we have explicitly shown several solutions to satisfy the relic density of DM without conflict of direct detection experiment,

fixing the mass of extra scalar boson mixing with SM Higgs as $m_{H_1} = (5, 10, 20)$ GeV. Our analysis has suggested that each of the solution lies on the pole, $m_{H_1} \simeq 2m_X$, due to the tiny coupling. In addition, too small mass of $m_{H_1} \lesssim 2$ GeV is not allowed even when it is on the pole. We have also discussed implications to collider physics

Acknowledgments

H. O. is sincerely grateful for all the KIAS members, Korean cordial persons, foods, culture, weather, and all the other things.

---

[1] A. Zee, Phys. Lett. B **93**, 389 (1980) [Erratum-ibid. B **95**, 461 (1980)].

[2] T. P. Cheng and L. F. Li, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 2860 (1980).

[3] A. Pilaftsis, Z. Phys. C **55**, 275 (1992) [hep-ph/9901206].

[4] E. Ma, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 077301 (2006) [hep-ph/0601225].

[5] P. -H. Gu and U. Sarkar, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 105031 (2008) [arXiv:0712.2933 [hep-ph]].

[6] N. Sahu and U. Sarkar; Phys. Rev. D **78**, 115013 (2008) [arXiv:0804.2072 [hep-ph]].

[7] P. -H. Gu and U. Sarkar, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 073012 (2008) [arXiv:0807.0270 [hep-ph]].

[8] D. Aristizabal Sierra and D. Restrepo, JHEP **0608**, 036 (2006) [hep-ph/0604012].

[9] R. Bouchand and A. Merle, JHEP **1207**, 084 (2012) [arXiv:1205.0008 [hep-ph]].

[10] K. L. McDonald, JHEP **1311**, 131 (2013) [arXiv:1310.0609 [hep-ph]].

[11] E. Ma, Phys. Lett. B **732**, 167 (2014) [arXiv:1401.3284 [hep-ph]].

[12] Y. Kajiyama, H. Okada and K. Yagyu, Nucl. Phys. B **887**, 358 (2014) [arXiv:1309.6234 [hep-ph]].

[13] S. Kanemura, O. Seto and T. Shimomura, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 016004 (2011) [arXiv:1101.5713 [hep-ph]].

[14] S. Kanemura, T. Nabeshima and H. Sugiyama, Phys. Lett. B **703**, 66 (2011) [arXiv:1106.2480 [hep-ph]].

[15] S. Kanemura, T. Nabeshima and H. Sugiyama, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 033004 (2012) [arXiv:1111.0599 [hep-ph]].

[16] D. Schmidt, T. Schwetz and T. Toma, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 073009 (2012) [arXiv:1201.0906 [hep-ph]].

[17] S. Kanemura and H. Sugiyama, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 073006 (2012) [arXiv:1202.5231 [hep-ph]].

[18] Y. Farzan and E. Ma, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 033007 (2012) [arXiv:1204.4890 [hep-ph]].

[19] K. Kumericki, I. Picek and B. Radovcic, JHEP **1207**, 039 (2012) [arXiv:1204.6597 [hep-ph]].

[20] K. Kumericki, I. Picek and B. Radovcic, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 013006 (2012) [arXiv:1204.6599 [hep-ph]].

[21] E. Ma, Phys. Lett. B **717**, 235 (2012) [arXiv:1206.1812 [hep-ph]].

[22] G. Gil, P. Chankowski and M. Krawczyk, Phys. Lett. B **717**, 396 (2012) [arXiv:1207.0084 [hep-ph]].

[23] H. Okada and T. Toma, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 033011 (2012) arXiv:1207.0864 [hep-ph].

[24] D. Hehn and A. Ibarra, Phys. Lett. B **718**, 988 (2013) [arXiv:1208.3162 [hep-ph]].

[25] P. S. B. Dev and A. Pilaftsis, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 113001 (2012) [arXiv:1209.4051 [hep-ph]].

[26] Y. Kajiyama, H. Okada and T. Toma, Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2381 (2013) [arXiv:1210.2305 [hep-ph]].

[27] T. Toma and A. Vicente, JHEP **1401**, 160 (2014) [arXiv:1312.2840, arXiv:1312.2840 [hep-ph]].

[28] S. Kanemura, T. Matsui and H. Sugiyama, Phys. Lett. B **727**, 151 (2013) [arXiv:1305.4521 [hep-ph]].

[29] S. S. C. Law and K. L. McDonald, JHEP **1309**, 092 (2013) [arXiv:1305.6467 [hep-ph]].

[30] S. Baek and H. Okada, arXiv:1403.1710 [hep-ph].

[31] S. Kanemura, T. Matsui and H. Sugiyama, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 013001 (2014) [arXiv:1405.1935 [hep-ph]].

[32] S. Fraser, E. Ma and O. Popov, Phys. Lett. B **737**, 280 (2014) [arXiv:1408.4785 [hep-ph]].

[33] A. Vicente and C. E. Yaguna, JHEP **1502**, 144 (2015) [arXiv:1412.2545 [hep-ph]].

[34] S. Baek, H. Okada and K. Yagyu, JHEP **1504**, 049 (2015) [arXiv:1501.01530 [hep-ph]].

[35] A. Merle and M. Platscher, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 9, 095002 (2015) [arXiv:1502.03098 [hep-ph]].

[36] D. Restrepo, A. Rivera, M. Sánchez-Peláez, O. Zapata and W. Tangarife, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 1, 013005 (2015) [arXiv:1504.07892 [hep-ph]].

[37] A. Merle and M. Platscher, JHEP **1511**, 148 (2015) [arXiv:1507.06314 [hep-ph]].

[38] W. Wang and Z. L. Han, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 095001 (2015) [arXiv:1508.00706 [hep-ph]].

[39] Y. H. Ahn and H. Okada, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 073010 (2012) [arXiv:1201.4436 [hep-ph]].

[40] E. Ma, A. Natale and A. Rashed, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **27**, 1250134 (2012) [arXiv:1206.1570 [hep-ph]].

[41] A. E. Carcamo Hernandez, I. d. M. Varzielas, S. G. Kovalenko, H. Päs and I. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 076014 (2013) [arXiv:1307.6499 [hep-ph]].

[42] E. Ma and A. Natale, Phys. Lett. B **723**, 403 (2014) [arXiv:1403.6772 [hep-ph]].

[43] E. Ma, Phys. Lett. B **741**, 202 (2015) [arXiv:1411.6679 [hep-ph]].

[44] E. Ma, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 5, 051301 (2015) [arXiv:1504.02086 [hep-ph]].

[45] E. Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 091801 (2014) [arXiv:1311.3213 [hep-ph]].

[46] H. Okada and K. Yagyu, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 053008 (2014) [arXiv:1311.4360 [hep-ph]].

[47] H. Okada and K. Yagyu; Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 3, 035019 (2014) [arXiv:1405.2368 [hep-ph]].

[48] V. Brdar, I. Picek and B. Radovcic, Phys. Lett. B **728**, 198 (2014) [arXiv:1310.3183 [hep-ph]].

[49] H. Okada, Y. Orikasa and T. Toma, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 5, 055007 (2016) [arXiv:1511.01018 [hep-ph]].

[50] F. Bonnet, M. Hirsch, T. Ota and W. Winter, JHEP **1207**, 153 (2012) [arXiv:1204.5862 [hep-ph]].

[51] F. R. Joaquim and J. T. Penedo, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 3, 033011 (2014) [arXiv:1403.4925 [hep-ph]].

[52] H. Davoudiasl and I. M. Lewis, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 3, 033003 (2014) [arXiv:1404.6260 [hep-ph]].

[53] M. Lindner, S. Schmidt and J. Smirnov, JHEP **1410**, 177 (2014) [arXiv:1405.6204 [hep-ph]].

[54] H. Okada and Y. Orikasa, Phys. Lett. B **760**, 558 (2016) [arXiv:1412.3616 [hep-ph]].

[55] Y. Mambrini, S. Profumo and F. S. Queiroz, Phys. Lett. B **760**, 807 (2016) [arXiv:1508.06635 [hep-ph]].

[56] S. M. Boucenna, S. Morisi and J. W. F. Valle, Adv. High Energy Phys. **2014**, 831598 (2014) [arXiv:1404.3751 [hep-ph]].

[57] A. Ahriche, S. M. Boucenna and S. Nasri, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 7, 075036 (2016) [arXiv:1601.04336 [hep-ph]].

[58] S. Fraser, C. Kownacki, E. Ma and O. Popov, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 1, 013021 (2016) [arXiv:1511.06375 [hep-ph]].

[59] S. Fraser, E. Ma and M. Zakeri, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 11, 115019 (2016) [arXiv:1511.07458 [hep-ph]].

[60] R. Adhikari, D. Borah and E. Ma, Phys. Lett. B **755**, 414 (2016) [arXiv:1512.05491 [hep-ph]].

[61] H. Okada and Y. Orikasa, Phys. Rev. D **94**, no. 5, 055002 (2016) [arXiv:1512.06687 [hep-ph]].

[62] A. Ibarra, C. E. Yaguna and O. Zapata, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 3, 035012 (2016) [arXiv:1601.01163 [hep-ph]].

[63] C. Arbelaez, A. E. C. Hernandez, S. Kovalenko and I. Schmidt, arXiv:1602.03607 [hep-ph].

[64] A. Ahriche, K. L. McDonald, S. Nasri and I. Picek, Phys. Lett. B **757**, 399 (2016) [arXiv:1603.01247 [hep-ph]].

[65] W. B. Lu and P. H. Gu, JCAP **1605**, no. 05, 040 (2016) [arXiv:1603.05074 [hep-ph]].

[66] C. Kownacki and E. Ma, Phys. Lett. B **760**, 59 (2016) [arXiv:1604.01148 [hep-ph]].

[67] A. Ahriche, K. L. McDonald and S. Nasri, JHEP **1606**, 182 (2016) [arXiv:1604.05569 [hep-ph]].

[68] A. Ahriche, A. Manning, K. L. McDonald and S. Nasri, Phys. Rev. D **94**, no. 5, 053005 (2016) [arXiv:1604.05995 [hep-ph]].

[69] E. Ma, N. Pollard, O. Popov and M. Zakeri, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **31**, no. 27, 1650163 (2016) [arXiv:1605.00991 [hep-ph]].

[70] T. Nomura, H. Okada and Y. Orikasa, Phys. Rev. D **94**, no. 5, 055012 (2016) [arXiv:1605.02601 [hep-ph]].

[71] C. Hagedorn, T. Ohlsson, S. Riad and M. A. Schmidt, JHEP **1609**, 111 (2016) [arXiv:1605.03986 [hep-ph]].

[72] O. Antipin, P. Culjak, K. Kumericki and I. Picek, arXiv:1606.05163 [hep-ph].

[73] T. Nomura and H. Okada, Phys. Lett. B **761**, 190 (2016) [arXiv:1606.09055 [hep-ph]].

[74] P. H. Gu, E. Ma and U. Sarkar, Phys. Rev. D **94**, no. 11, 111701 (2016) [arXiv:1608.02118 [hep-ph]].

[75] S. Y. Guo, Z. L. Han and Y. Liao, Phys. Rev. D **94**, no. 11, 115014 (2016) [arXiv:1609.01018 [hep-ph]].

[76] A. E. Carcamo Hernandez, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, no. 9, 503 (2016) [arXiv:1512.09092 [hep-ph]].

[77] L. Megrelidze and Z. Tavartkiladze, Nucl. Phys. B **914**, 553 (2017) [arXiv:1609.07344 [hep-ph]].

[78] K. Cheung, T. Nomura and H. Okada, Phys. Rev. D **94**, no. 11, 115024 (2016)

[arXiv:1610.02322 [hep-ph]].

[79] O. Seto and T. Shimomura, arXiv:1610.08112 [hep-ph].

[80] W. B. Lu and P. H. Gu, arXiv:1611.02106 [hep-ph].

[81] A. G. Hessler, A. Ibarra, E. Molinaro and S. Vogl, JHEP **1701**, 100 (2017) [arXiv:1611.09540 [hep-ph]].

[82] H. Okada, N. Okada and Y. Orikasa, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 7, 073006 (2016) [arXiv:1504.01204 [hep-ph]].

[83] P. Ko, T. Nomura and H. Okada, arXiv:1701.05788 [hep-ph].

[84] P. Ko, T. Nomura and H. Okada, arXiv:1702.02699 [hep-ph].

[85] S. Lee, T. Nomura and H. Okada, arXiv:1702.03733 [hep-ph].

[86] O. Antipin, P. Culjak, K. Kumericki and I. Picek, Phys. Lett. B **768**, 330 (2017) [arXiv:1703.05075 [hep-ph]].

[87] D. Borah, S. Sadhukhan and S. Sahoo, arXiv:1703.08674 [hep-ph].

[88] C. W. Chiang, H. Okada and E. Senaha, arXiv:1703.09153 [hep-ph].

[89] T. Kitabayashi, S. Ohkawa and M. Yasue, arXiv:1703.09417 [hep-ph].

[90] A. Das, T. Nomura, H. Okada and S. Roy, arXiv:1704.02078 [hep-ph].

[91] T. Nomura and H. Okada, arXiv:1704.03382 [hep-ph].

[92] W. Wang and Z. L. Han, arXiv:1611.03240 [hep-ph].

[93] C. Guo, S. Y. Guo, Z. L. Han, B. Li and Y. Liao, arXiv:1701.02463 [hep-ph].

[94] M. Lindner, M. Platscher and F. S. Queiroz, arXiv:1610.06587 [hep-ph].

[95] M. Magg and C. Wetterich, Phys. Lett. B **94**, 61 (1980); G. Lazarides, Q. Shafi and C. Wetterich, Nucl. Phys. B **181**, 287 (1981); R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 165 (1981); E. Ma and U. Sarkar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 5716 (1998).

[96] W. Konetschny and W. Kummer, Phys. Lett. B **70**, 433 (1977); J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 2227 (1980); T. P. Cheng and L. -F. Li, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 2860 (1980); S. M. Bilenky, J. Hosek and S. T. Petcov, Phys. Lett. B **94**, 495 (1980).

[97] K. A. Olive *et al.* [Particle Data Group], Chin. Phys. C **38**, 090001 (2014).

[98] H. Okada and Y. Orikasa, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 1, 013008 (2016) [arXiv:1509.04068 [hep-ph]].

[99] T. Nomura, H. Okada and H. Yokoya, arXiv:1702.03396 [hep-ph].

[100] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], Astron. Astrophys. **571**, A16 (2014) [arXiv:1303.5076 [astro-ph.CO]].

[101] D. S. Akerib *et al.* [LUX Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, no. 2, 021303 (2017) [arXiv:1608.07648 [astro-ph.CO]].

[102] The numerical analyses on the Higgs decays are performed using the program `HDECAY`: A. Djouadi, J. Kalinowski and M. Spira, Comput. Phys. Commun. 108 (1998) 56; A. Djouadi, M. Muhlleitner and M. Spira, Acta. Phys. Polon. B38 (2007) 635.

[103] S. Choi, S. Jung and P. Ko, JHEP **1310**, 225 (2013) [arXiv:1307.3948 [hep-ph]].

[104] K. Cheung, P. Ko, J. S. Lee and P. Y. Tseng, JHEP **1510**, 057 (2015) [arXiv:1507.06158 [hep-ph]].

[105] G. Dupuis, JHEP **1607**, 008 (2016) [arXiv:1604.04552 [hep-ph]].

[106] J. Edsjo and P. Gondolo, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 1879 (1997) [hep-ph/9704361].

[107] K. Nishiwaki, H. Okada and Y. Orikasa, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 9, 093013 (2015) [arXiv:1507.02412 [hep-ph]].

[108] C. W. Chiang, T. Nomura and K. Tsumura, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 095023 (2012) [arXiv:1202.2014 [hep-ph]].

[109] S. Kanemura, K. Yagyu and H. Yokoya, Phys. Lett. B **726**, 316 (2013) [arXiv:1305.2383 [hep-ph]].

[110] S. Kanemura, M. Kikuchi, K. Yagyu and H. Yokoya, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 11, 115018 (2014) [arXiv:1407.6547 [hep-ph]].

[111] S. Kanemura, M. Kikuchi, H. Yokoya and K. Yagyu, PTEP **2015**, 051B02 (2015) [arXiv:1412.7603 [hep-ph]].